arXiv:hep-ph/9809538v2 7 Oct 1998

Asymptotic Padé-Approximant Predictions for Renormalization-Group Functions of Massive ϕ^4 Scalar Field Theory

F. Chishtie, V. Elias*
Department of Applied Mathematics, The University of Western Ontario,
London, Ontario N6A 5B7, Canada.

T.G. Steele†
Department of Physics and Engineering Physics and
Saskatchewan Accelerator Laboratory
University of Saskatchewan
Saskatoon, Saskatchewan S7N 5C6, Canada.

February 1, 2008

Abstract

Within the context of massive N-component ϕ^4 scalar field theory, we use asymptotic Padé-approximant methods to estimate from prior orders of perturbation theory the five-loop contributions to the coupling-constant β-function β_g, the anomalous mass dimension γ_m, the vacuum-energy β-function β_v, and the anomalous dimension γ_2 of the scalar field propagator. These estimates are then compared with explicit calculations of the five-loop contributions to β_g, γ_m, β_v, and are seen to be respectively within 5%, 18%, and 27% of their true values for N between 1 and 5. We then extend asymptotic Padé-approximant methods to predict the presently unknown six-loop contributions to β_g, γ_m, and β_v. These predictions, as well as the six-loop prediction for γ_2, provide a test of asymptotic Padé-approximant methods against future calculations.

A substantial body of work [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] already exists in which Padé-approximant methods are utilized to predict higher order corrections in quantum field theoretical calculations. In the present letter, we apply such methods to the coupling-constant β-function $\beta_g(g)$, the anomalous mass dimension $\gamma_m(g)$, the anomalous scalar-field propagator dimension $\gamma_2(g)$, and the vacuum-energy β-function $\beta_v(g)$ for a massive ϕ^4 N-component scalar field theory based on the Lagrangian[1]

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{a=1}^{N} \left[\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \phi^a \partial_\mu \phi^a + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^a \phi^a + \frac{16\pi^2}{4!} g \left(\phi^a \phi^a\right)^2 \right] \quad \left(+ \frac{m^4 h}{(4\pi)^2 g} \right) \tag{1}$$

Specifically, we utilize the asymptotic Padé algorithm described in refs. [2] and [3] to compare predictions of five-loop contributions to $\beta_g(g)$, $\gamma_m(g)$, and $\beta_v(g)$ to their now-known [7, 8] calculated values. These predictions are seen to be startlingly successful for $\beta_g(g)$, and for up to five scalar field components, are generally within 20% of the correct value for the five-loop term in $\gamma_m(g)$ and $\beta_v(g)$. We then adapt asymptotic Padé methods to predict the presently unknown six-loop contributions to $\gamma_2(g)$, $\beta_g(g)$, $\gamma_m(g)$, and $\beta_v(g)$, with the hope that these predictions may be tested against six-loop calculations in the not too distant future.

---

*email: zohar@apmaths.uwo.ca

†email: steelet@sask.usask.ca

[1] The final constant term in (1) is of relevance only for the calculation of $\beta_v(g)$ [6], and has not been incorporated in calculations [7] of $\beta_g(g)$, $\gamma_m(g)$, and $\gamma_2(g)$.

The asymptotic Padé approximant methods we employ are directed toward perturbative field-theoretical series of the form

$$F(x) = F_0(x)\left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R_k x^k\right] \tag{2}$$

where x typically denotes a field-theoretical coupling constant. Unknown coefficients R_{N+M+1} are estimated via $[N|M]$ Padé approximants whose Maclaurin expansions reproduce known coefficients $R_1 - R_{N+M}$:

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &\simeq F_0(x)\frac{1 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \ldots + a_N x^N}{1 + b_1 x + b_2 x^2 + \ldots + b_M x^M} \\ &= F_0(x)\left[1 + R_1 x + R_2 x^2 + \ldots + R_{N+M} x^{N+M} + R_{N+M+1}^{Pade} x^{N+M+1} + \mathcal{O}\left(x^{N+M+2}\right)\right] \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Within a quantum field-theoretical context in which coefficients R_k are expected to diverge like $k!C^k k^\gamma$ [9], it has been argued [2, 4, 5] that the relative error in using an $[N|M]$ Padé approximant to estimate the coefficient R_{N+M+1} satisfies the asymptotic error formula

$$\delta_{N+M+1} \equiv \frac{R_{N+M+1}^{Pade} - R_{N+M+1}}{R_{N+M+1}} \simeq -\frac{M!A^M}{(N + M + aM + b)^M} \quad , \tag{4}$$

where $\{A,\ a,\ b\}$ are constants to be determined. Within the context of $[N|1]$ approximants (*i.e.* $M = 1$), the Padé prediction for R_{N+2} is R_{N+1}^2/R_N , and the error formula (4) simplifies to

$$\delta_{N+2} = \frac{R_{N+1}^2 - R_N R_{N+2}}{R_N R_{N+2}} = -\frac{A}{N + 1 + (a + b)} \quad . \tag{5}$$

Noting that $R_0 \equiv 1$ in (2), one easily sees from (5) that [2, 3]

$$A = \frac{\delta_2\delta_3}{\delta_3 - \delta_2} \quad , \quad (a + b) = \frac{\delta_2 - 2\delta_3}{\delta_3 - \delta_2} \tag{6}$$

We further note from (5) that the [2|1] Padé prediction for R_4 can be corrected by the asymptotic error formula to yield the following result [3]:

$$R_4 = \frac{R_3^2/R_2}{1 + \delta_4} = \frac{R_3^2\left[3 + (a + b)\right]}{R_2\left[3 - A + (a + b)\right]} = \frac{R_3^2\left[R_2^3 + R_1 R_2 R_3 - 2R_1^3 R_3\right]}{R_2\left[2R_2^3 - R_1^3 R_3 - R_1^2 R_2^2\right]} \tag{7}$$

The final expression in (7) is obtained via (6), with $\delta_2 = \left(R_1^2 - R_2\right)/R_2$, $\delta_3 = \left(R_2^2/R_1 - R_3\right)/R_3$.

We first test this asymptotic Padé-approximant prediction (APAP) for R_4 against the known values of the coefficients $R_1 \ldots R_4$ in the coupling-constant β-function for the scalar field theory (1):

$$\beta_g(g) = \frac{g^2(N + 8)}{6}\left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R_k g^k\right] \quad . \tag{8}$$

The coefficients R_1, R_2, R_3 *and* R_4 have been calculated explicitly [7], and are tabulated in Table 1. The APAP prediction for R_4, as obtained via (7) from prior coefficients $(R_1 \ldots R_3)$ is also tabulated as R_4^{APAP} in Table 1. The relative error

$$E \equiv \left|\frac{R_4 - R_4^{APAP}}{R_4}\right| \tag{9}$$

is seen to be astonishingly small (0.2%) for single-component ($N = 1$) scalar field theory, and remains less than 5% for $N \leq 5$.[2]

We have repeated the above procedure for coefficients R_1–R_4, as extracted from ref. [7], in the anomalous mass dimension $\gamma_m(g)$:

$$\gamma_m(g) = \frac{g(N+2)}{6}\left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R_k g^k\right] \quad . \tag{10}$$

These values are tabulated in Table 2 for $N = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. The APAP prediction for R_4, as obtained via (7) from R_1, R_2, R_3, is within 10.4% of the true value for a single component scalar field ($N = 1$), and becomes progressively less accurate as the number of scalar field components increases. Nevertheless, even the five-component APAP estimate of R_4 differs from the true value by only 18.3%, remarkable accuracy for a five-loop estimate that is obtained *entirely* from lower-order perturbative contributions.

We suspect the somewhat diminished accuracy in the estimate of the five-loop contribution of γ_m, as compared to that for β_g, may be a consequence of the value for R_1 remaining static at $-5/6$ while R_2, R_3, and R_4 are all seen to increase in magnitude with the number of scalar-field components N. Indeed, a similar situation arises for the vacuum-energy β-function $\beta_v(g)$, as defined and calculated in [6] and [8] for the N-component massive scalar-field theory (1):

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_v(g) &= \frac{Ng}{4}\left[1 + \frac{N+2}{24}g^2 - 0.032639868(N+2)(N+8)g^3\right.\\ &\qquad \left. +4(0.5781728935 + 0.4184309753N + 0.06839310856N^2 + 0.001860422132N^3)g^4 + \ldots\right]\\ &\equiv \frac{Ng}{4}\left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R_k g^k\right]\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

In the above expression, R_1 is clearly zero for all N. Consequently, APAP estimates for R_4 obtained via (7) are simply $R_3^2/(2R_2)$. Surprisingly, such an estimate does substantially better than R_3^2/R_2, the naive estimate of R_4 from the [2|1]-approximant, as is evident from Table 3. We note that R_4^{APAP} actually improves in accuracy with increasing N; the relative error in R_4^{APAP} decreases from 27% to 18% as N increases from 1 to 5.

The six-loop contribution to the scalar-field anomalous dimension γ_2 has not, to our knowledge, been calculated. Only contributions up to five-loop order are listed in ref. [7]. These results, when expressed in the form

$$\gamma_2(g) = \frac{g^2(N+2)}{36}\left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R_k g^k\right] \tag{12}$$

are tabulated in Table 4. The algorithm (7) is used to predict R_4, the presently unknown six-loop contribution. A genuine test of the asymptotic error formulae (4) would be to compare the final column of Table 4 with the results of a six-loop calculation.

It is perhaps of even greater value to see if the success already evident in APAP predictions of five-loop terms for $\beta_g(g)$, $\gamma_m(g)$, and $\beta_v(g)$ carry over to the next order of perturbation theory, by extending the APAP procedure based on the error formula (4) to estimate six-loop order contributions (R_5) from lower-order terms in perturbation theory. We note from (5) that

$$R_5 = \frac{R_4^2}{R_3(1+\delta_5)} = \frac{R_4^2[4 + (a+b)]}{R_3[4 - A + (a+b)]} \quad . \tag{13}$$

---

[2] The coefficients R_4 for β_g have also been estimated quite accurately by a somewhat different procedure in [5] involving explicit use of the N^4 dependence of R_4, as well as an overall fit of R_4's N-dependence within the context of a simplified version of the error formula (7).

We seek to improve upon (6) by incorporating knowledge of R_4, hence of δ_4, into A and $(a+b)$. Since this applies the asymptotic error formula at a larger value of N, the results of the constants in (4) should be more accurate. The equations

$$\delta_3 = -\frac{A}{2+(a+b)} \quad , \quad \delta_4 = -\frac{A}{3+(a+b)} \tag{14}$$

have solutions

$$A = \frac{\delta_3\delta_4}{\delta_4-\delta_3} \quad ; \quad (a+b) = \frac{2\delta_3 - 3\delta_4}{\delta_4-\delta_3} \tag{15}$$

Noting from (5) that $\delta_4 = \left(R_3^2 - R_2R_4\right)/\left(R_2R_4\right)$, we obtain the asymptotic error formula prediction

$$R_5 = \frac{R_4^2\left[R_1R_3^3 - 2R_4R_2^3 + R_1R_2R_3R_4\right]}{R_3\left[2R_1R_3^3 - R_4R_2^3 - R_2^2R_3^2\right]} \tag{16}$$

The final column of Table 1 lists this APAP prediction of the six-loop term R_5 for $N = \{1,2,3,4,5\}$ In view of the accuracy already noted in the APAP prediction of the five-loop contribution to $\beta_g(g)$, a comparison of this column's entries to an explicit calculation of the six-loop contributions to the β-function would be of evident value.

For completeness, we have also added to Tables 2 and 3 predictions of the six-loop contributions to $\gamma_m(g)$ and $\beta_v(g)$, as obtained from (16). Kastening has already noted [8] that the six-loop contribution to $\beta_v(g)$ is perhaps the easiest six-loop quantity in scalar field theory to calculate. Perhaps a direct comparison of such a calculation to the final column of Table 3 will be possible in the near future.

We are grateful for support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

References

[1] J.-F. Yang, Comm. Theor. Phys. **22** (1994) 207; J.Ellis, E. Gardi, M. Karliner, M.A. Samuel, Phys. Lett. **366** (1996) 268 and Phys. Rev **D54** (1996) 6986; I.T. Drummond, R. R. Horgan, P. V. Landshoff, and A. Rebhan, Nucl. Phys. **B524** (1998) 579.

[2] J. Ellis, I. Jack, D.R.T. Jones, M. Karliner, M.A. Samuel, Phys. Rev. **D57** (1998) 2665.

[3] V. Elias, T.G. Steele, F. Chishtie, R. Migneron, K. Sprague, Phys. Rev. D (to appear: hep-ph/9806324).

[4] M.A. Samuel, J. Ellis, M. Karliner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74** (1995) 4380.

[5] M.A. Samuel, J. Ellis, M. Karliner, Phys. Lett. **B400** (1997) 176.

[6] B. Kastening, Phys. Rev. **D54** (1996) 3965.

[7] H. Kleinert, J. Neu, V. Schulte-Frohlinde, K.G. Chetyrkin, S.A. Larin, Phys. Lett. **B272** (1991) 39 and **B319** (1993) 545 (erratum).

[8] B. Kastening, Phys. Rev. **D57** (1998) 3567; see also S. A. Larin, M.. Moenningmann, M. Stroesser, and V. Dohm, cond-mat/9805028.

[9] A.I. Vainshtein, V.I. Zakharov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73** (1994) 1207.

N	R_1	R_2	R_3	R_4	R_4^{APAP}	E	R_5^{APAP}
1	$-\frac{17}{9}$	10.84989	-90.53526	949.5228	947.8	0.002	-11744.2
2	-2	11.98433	-105.1544	1153.352	1165.0	0.010	-14814.4
3	$-\frac{23}{11}$	12.99584	-119.3579	1363.260	1394.1	0.023	-18116.2
4	$-\frac{13}{6}$	13.91515	-133.2477	1579.416	1633.9	0.035	-21659.1
5	$-\frac{29}{13}$	14.76355	-146.8943	1801.925	1883.4	0.045	-25451.9

Table 1: The coefficients R_1–R_4 in the coupling-constant β-function $\beta_g(g)$, as defined in (8) and as calculated in ref. [7] for an N-component massive ϕ^4 scalar field theory. The quantity R_4^{APAP} is the asymptotic Padé-approximant estimate of the five-loop contribution R_4, and E is the relative error defined in (9) between this estimate and R_4's true value. The quantity R_5^{APAP} is the asymptotic Padé estimate of the six-loop contribution to $\beta_g(g)$.

N	R_1	R_2	R_3	R_4	R_4^{APAP}	E	R_5^{APAP}
1	$-\frac{5}{6}$	$\frac{7}{2}$	-19.956305	150.7555	135.07	0.104	-1478
2	$-\frac{5}{6}$	$\frac{47}{12}$	-23.90300	191.8840	168.37	0.123	-2055
3	$-\frac{5}{6}$	$\frac{13}{3}$	-27.98248	236.9432	203.06	0.143	-2882
4	$-\frac{5}{6}$	$\frac{19}{4}$	-32.19475	285.9396	239.18	0.164	-4738
5	$-\frac{5}{6}$	$\frac{31}{6}$	-36.53981	338.8796	276.80	0.183	+1446

Table 2: The coefficients R_1–R_4 in the anomalous mass dimension $\gamma_m(g)$, as defined in (10) and as calculated in [7]. The quantity R_4^{APAP} is the asymptotic Padé-approximant estimate of the five-loop term R_4, E is the relative error in this five-loop term [eq. (9)], and R_5^{APAP} is the asymptotic Padé-approximant estimate of the six-loop contribution to $\gamma_m(g)$.

N	R_1	R_2	R_3	R_4	R_4^{APAP}	E	R_5^{APAP}
1	0	$\frac{1}{8}$	-0.8812764	4.267430	3.1066	0.272	-16.83
2	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	-1.305595	6.813963	5.1137	0.250	-28.43
3	0	$\frac{5}{24}$	-1.795193	9.996941	7.7345	0.226	-43.71
4	0	$\frac{1}{4}$	-2.3500705	13.861014	11.0457	0.203	-63.04
5	0	$\frac{7}{24}$	-2.9702280	18.450833	15.1239	0.180	-86.85

Table 3: The coefficients R_1–R_4 in the vacuum-energy β-function $\beta_v(g)$, as defined in (11). Values of R_1, R_2, and R_3 are extracted from ref. [6]; the five-loop contribution R_4 is extracted from ref. [8]. The quantity R_4^{APAP} is the asymptotic Padé-approximant estimate of the five-loop term R_4, E is the relative error in this five-loop term [eq. (9)], and R_5^{APAP} is the asymptotic Padé-approximant estimate of the six-loop contribution to $\beta_v(g)$.

N	R_1	R_2	R_3	R_4^{APAP}
1	$-\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{65}{16}$	-23.10702	134.7
2	$-\frac{5}{6}$	$\frac{55}{12}$	-28.33255	186.1
3	$-\frac{11}{12}$	$\frac{725}{144}$	-33.762556	252.0
4	-1	$\frac{65}{12}$	-39.37539	337.2
5	$-\frac{13}{12}$	$\frac{275}{48}$	-45.149425	448.9

Table 4: The coefficients R_1, R_2, R_3 in the in the anomalous dimension γ_2 of the scalar field propagator, as defined in (12) and extracted from ref. [7]. The quantity R_4^{APAP} is the asymptotic Padé-approximant estimate of the six-loop term.